



The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis

Prof. Dr. Dominik Brodowski, LL.M. (UPenn) Faculty of Law | Cluster for European Research (CEUS) 08.03.2024

The complaint

The complaint







complaint against two provisions on Automated Data Analysis (ADA)



- complaint against two provisions on Automated Data Analysis (ADA)
- contained in state (»Länder«) police laws (prevention of crimes and criminality)



- complaint against two provisions on Automated Data Analysis (ADA)
- contained in state (»Länder«) police laws (prevention of crimes and criminality)
- lodged by NGO

The judgment (1 BvR 1547/19, 1 BvR 2634/20)

Settling the ground





• ADA affects fundamental right of data protection of *all* persons whose personal data is analysed

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)



- ADA affects fundamental right of data protection of *all* persons whose personal data is analysed
- ADA typically has a higher impact on fundamental rights than »normal« processing of data



- ADA affects fundamental right of data protection of *all* persons whose personal data is analysed
- ADA typically has a higher impact on fundamental rights than »normal« processing of data
- ADA needs to pass a proportionality test



- ADA affects fundamental right of data protection of *all* persons whose personal data is analysed
- ADA typically has a higher impact on fundamental rights than »normal« processing of data
- ADA needs to pass a proportionality test
 - legitimate purpose (+)



- ADA affects fundamental right of data protection of *all* persons whose personal data is analysed
- ADA typically has a higher impact on fundamental rights than »normal« processing of data
- ADA needs to pass a proportionality test
 - legitimate purpose (+)
 - furtherance of the purpose (+)



- ADA affects fundamental right of data protection of *all* persons whose personal data is analysed
- ADA typically has a higher impact on fundamental rights than »normal« processing of data
- ADA needs to pass a proportionality test
 - legitimate purpose (+)
 - furtherance of the purpose (+)
 - necessary (+)



- ADA affects fundamental right of data protection of *all* persons whose personal data is analysed
- ADA typically has a higher impact on fundamental rights than »normal« processing of data
- ADA needs to pass a proportionality test
 - legitimate purpose (+)
 - furtherance of the purpose (+)
 - necessary (+)
 - proportionality strictu sensu / adequancy ?

Factors in the adequancy test





data from general police work?

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)



- data from general police work?
- data from specific investigation measures (such as hacking IT systems)?



- data from general police work?
- data from specific investigation measures (such as hacking IT systems)?
- »random data from the Internet«?





data sources

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)



data sources

broad ↔ limited

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)



- broad \leftrightarrow limited
- manual selection ↔ automatic selection



- broad \leftrightarrow limited
- manual selection ↔ automatic selection
- algorithms



- broad \leftrightarrow limited
- manual selection ↔ automatic selection
- algorithms
 - simple search



- broad \leftrightarrow limited
- manual selection \leftrightarrow automatic selection
- algorithms
 - simple search
 - ↔ high complexity



- broad \leftrightarrow limited
- manual selection ↔ automatic selection
- algorithms
 - simple search
 - ↔ high complexity
 - ↔ machine learning / AI





• specific (sensitive?) information about specific persons?

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)



specific (sensitive?) information about specific persons?
 ↔ object-/area-related information / aggregated information



- specific (sensitive?) information about specific persons?
 ↔ object-/area-related information / aggregated information
- information about »usual suspects«



- specific (sensitive?) information about specific persons?
 ↔ object-/area-related information / aggregated information
- information about »usual suspects«
 - ↔ information about persons not yet targeted by the police (and risk of discrimination)



- specific (sensitive?) information about specific persons?
 ↔ object-/area-related information / aggregated information
- information about »usual suspects«
 - ↔ information about persons not yet targeted by the police (and risk of discrimination)
- limitation to certain severe forms of criminality?

Generic factors





• administrative oversight

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)



- administrative oversight
- transparency



- administrative oversight
- transparency
- handling of errors



- administrative oversight
- transparency
- handling of errors
- legal remedies

Did the statutes under review pass the »adequancy test«?





• important factors must be decided by the legislature itself

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)



- important factors must be decided by the legislature itself
- a generic legal basis for use of ADA systems sufficies only if there are sufficient safeguards in law, such as



- important factors must be decided by the legislature itself
- a generic legal basis for use of ADA systems sufficies only if there are sufficient safeguards in law, such as
 - on the data sources,



- important factors must be decided by the legislature itself
- a generic legal basis for use of ADA systems sufficies only if there are sufficient safeguards in law, such as
 - on the data sources,
 - on the information to be obtained and/or the persons affected, and



- important factors must be decided by the legislature itself
- a generic legal basis for use of ADA systems sufficies only if there are sufficient safeguards in law, such as
 - on the data sources,
 - on the information to be obtained and/or the persons affected, and
 - the exclusion if machine learning technology



- important factors must be decided by the legislature itself
- a generic legal basis for use of ADA systems sufficies only if there are sufficient safeguards in law, such as
 - on the data sources,
 - on the information to be obtained and/or the persons affected, and
 - the exclusion if machine learning technology
- under review: far-reaching generic legal bases *without* sufficient safeguards



- important factors must be decided by the legislature itself
- a generic legal basis for use of ADA systems sufficies only if there are sufficient safeguards in law, such as
 - on the data sources,
 - on the information to be obtained and/or the persons affected, and
 - the exclusion if machine learning technology
- under review: far-reaching generic legal bases *without* sufficient safeguards
 - → unconstitutional

Assessement









complexity

08.03.2024 Brodowski: The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis (Saarland University)

Assessment



- complexity
- ambiguity of adequacy balancing test

Assessment



- complexity
- ambiguity of adequacy balancing test
- *some* barriers and guideance to the German legislature(s)

Assessment



- complexity
- ambiguity of adequacy balancing test
- some barriers and guideance to the German legislature(s)

Literature:

Johanna Sprenger/Dominik Brodowski, Predictive Policing in Germany, in: Juliette Lelieur (Ed.), Artificial Intelligence and Administration of Criminal Justice = RIDP 94.2 (2023), Antwerpen: Maklu, 2023, pp. 117–148.





The German Federal Constitutional Court's 2023 Judgment on Automated Data Analysis

Prof. Dr. Dominik Brodowski, LL.M. (UPenn) Faculty of Law | Cluster for European Research (CEUS) 08.03.2024